

Resolution of the Vice-Chancellor's Office for Research at the Universitat Jaume I in Castellón, calling for applications for the outstanding doctoral awards for the 2023/24 academic year.

The purpose of the Outstanding Doctoral Awards is to reward theses of exceptional quality. The merits to be taken into consideration by the assessment committees to confer the awards must be focused essentially on the doctoral theses and their contribution to the advancement and transfer of knowledge within their field of knowledge. The procedures established in this respect assure both society and the academic community, as well as the award-winners themselves, that Universitat Jaume I special awards are worthy of their name.

Given that the Universitat Jaume I has the power to grant Outstanding Doctoral Awards to theses of exceptional quality in compliance with Article 1.3 of the Regulations on Outstanding Doctoral Awards of the Universitat Jaume I, approved by the Governing Council at its meeting no. 3/2016, of 17 March 2016, modified by the Governing Council in session no. 1/2017, of 26 January 2017, and in session no. 3/2021, 25 March 2021, this Office of the Vice-Rector,

For all this,

HEREBY RESOLVES:

First.- Calling for applications for the Universitat Jaume I's outstanding doctoral awards for the 2023/24 academic year, with a total value of €2,702.40, corresponding to 12 awards of €225.20 each.

CENTER	SUBCENTRO	PROJECT	SUBPROJECT	LINE	PROGRAM	APPLICATION	IMPORTED
VI	ED	8G033	03	00000	541-A	4711	2.702,40 €

This initial appropriation may be increased if needs so require, as decided by the body responsible for conferring the awards, by means of the corresponding agreement prior to the conferral of the awards.

Second.- Approve the rules of the call for Outstanding Doctoral Awards for the academic year 2023-24, as set out in Appendix I.

Third.- Approve the final list of doctors who have obtained the highest qualification, and have been nominated for the outstanding doctoral award, by unanimous vote of the members of the court, in the confidential reports issued after the public defence ceremony (Appendix II).

Fourth.- Approve the model form to be attached to the application (Appendix III).

Fifth.- Approve the composition of the committees that must evaluate the applications (Appendix IV).

Sixth.- Approve the general assessment scale of the Outstanding Doctoral Awards and the specific scales of excellence by branches of knowledge (Appendix V).

Seventh.- Publish the rules of the call and the appendices on the website of the [Doctoral School](#) and on the [Official Noticeboard of the Universitat Jaume I](#) and publish an extract of these rules in the Official Journal of the Government of Valencia (DOGV).

Eighth.- In accordance with the provisions of Articles 123 and 124 of Law 39/2015, of 1 October, on the Administrative Procedure Common to Public Agencies, an appeal against this resolution, which puts an

end to the administrative proceedings, may be lodged before the Office of the Vice-Rector for Research within one month as of the day it is notified. Alternatively, candidates may lodge an appeal directly before the Court of Administrative Litigation in Castelló within a period of two months as of the day following the receipt of the notification. This is without prejudice to any other action that may be deemed appropriate.

The Vice-Rector for Research, by delegation of powers from the Rector (DOGV no. 9349 of 27/05/2022):
Jesús Lancis Sáez.

Jesus Lancis Saez.

Castellón de la Plana, with electronic signature date.

Appendix I. Rules of the call for Outstanding Doctoral Awards for the academic year 2023/24.

1. Object and purpose

1.1. The purpose of these rules is to regulate the awarding of Outstanding Doctoral Awards for the academic year 2023/24.

1.2. This call is linked to objective 3, recognising and encouraging talent and professional careers, specifically to action 3b of the Jaume I University Strategic Subsidy Plan 2023/2025, awarding different distinctions and prizes for academic excellence to undergraduate, master's and doctoral students.

2. Beneficiaries and requirements

2.1. Doctoral theses by doctors from the Universitat Jaume I who have obtained the grade of excellent cum laude (R.D. 99/2011) in the 2023/24 academic year and have been nominated for an outstanding doctoral award by unanimous decision of the thesis committee in the confidential reports issued after the public defence.

2.2. Theses that, although they meet the requirements listed in the previous section, have not been awarded a minimum of 7 points in each of the confidential reports will not be accepted for the call.

2.3. The application may be submitted in any of the two calls for applications immediately following the end of the academic year of the thesis defence. For this purpose, the academic year shall be considered to be the period between 1 September of one year and 31 August of the following year. Nevertheless, this period can be extended, with the agreement of the Steering Committee of the Doctoral School, until 10 theses have been defended within the same branch of knowledge.

2.4. Doctors who are eligible to apply for the 22/23 call but did not do so may apply for this call.

2.5. Each doctoral thesis will only be eligible for an Outstanding Doctoral Award once

2.6. Women victims of gender-based violence who participate in this call for applications may request the anonymization of their identifying data. To this end, interested women must make the corresponding request in their application to participate in this selection process using the form "[Request for anonymization of data of a woman who is a victim of gender-based violence](#)".

3. Content and amount of the prizes

3.1. The individual financial endowment of the awards will be 225.20 euros

3.2. In application of the Regulations on Outstanding Doctoral Awards approved by the Governing Council, a total of 12 awards will be conferred in this call, distributed by branch of knowledge as follows:

- Arts and Humanities: 2 awards.
- Sciences: 3 awards.
- Health Sciences: 2 awards.
- Social Sciences and Law: 3 awards.
- Engineering and Architecture: 2 awards.

4. Applications and submission deadline

4.1. In accordance with Article 21.5 of the Agreement of January 28, 2016, of the Governing Council, approving the e-Government Regulations of the Universitat Jaume I, members of the university community are required to submit documents electronically.

4.2. Those interested in participating in this call for applications should submit their applications via the platform provided for this purpose and attach the form included in Appendix III.

Exceptionally, applications may be submitted at the registries and offices referred to in Article 16.4 of Law 39/2015, of 1 October, on the Administrative Procedure Common to Public Agencies.

4.3. If applicable, the form must be accompanied by documentary evidence of all the merits cited in it, provided that they do not appear in the UJI's [Research Data System \(SDI\)](#).

4.4. All the information for accessing the application can be found on the website of the Doctoral School: [Outstanding Doctoral Awards](#)

4.5. The deadline for submitting applications is 10 working days from the day after the publication of the extract of the call for applications in the DOGV.

4.6. All requirements must be met by the application deadline.

5. Application evaluation procedure

5.1. The applications submitted will be evaluated on a competitive basis. Thus, they will be examined and ranked in accordance with the assessment criteria established in section 7 of these rules and Appendix V. Successful applicants will be those with the highest score.

5.2. Applicants who are not awarded the grant will be placed on a waiting list in descending order and will be contacted in the event of withdrawals or non-acceptances.

6. Examination of applications and decision on the awards

6.1. The examination of applications and decision on the awards are the responsibility of the Vice-Rector for Research, by virtue of the powers delegated to him by the Rector through the Resolution of 24 May 2022 (DOGV of 27 May 2022).

6.2. The persons listed in Annex II shall be the candidates to formalise their application via the platform set up for this purpose. The lists of persons who have submitted their applications shall be published in the Official Noticeboard of the UJI ([TAO-UJI](#)) and on the [Doctoral School website](#).

6.3. Once the application period has ended, the provisional decision listing those who have been admitted and those who have been excluded will be published in the [TAO-UJI](#) and on the [Doctoral School website](#).

A period of 10 business days is established for interested parties to correct errors or omissions and submit appeals. Once this period has ended, if no corrections have been made, it will be understood that they have withdrawn their application.

6.4. Once the period for appeals and corrections has ended, and a decision has been made, a final decision will be issued with the names of those admitted and excluded from the procedure.

A contentious-administrative appeal may be lodged against this resolution, which puts an end to administrative proceedings, before the Castelló Court for Administrative Matters within two months of the day following its announcement on the [TAO-UJI](#). However, interested parties may lodge an application for review against this resolution, before the same body that issued it, within a period of one month from the day following its publication; in this case, no contentious-administrative appeal may be lodged until an express or presumed resolution of the appeal for reconsideration is issued, in accordance with Articles 123 et seq. of Law 39/2015, of 1 October, on the Administrative Procedure Common to Public Agencies.

6.5. Five evaluation commissions will be set up, one for each branch of knowledge:

- Arts and Humanities
- Sciences
- Health Sciences
- Social Sciences and Law
- Engineering and Architecture

6.6. The assessment committees for each branch of knowledge shall be constituted in accordance with Annex IV and shall be composed of

- Dr Mercè Correa Sanz, Director of the Doctoral School, or the person delegated by her in the event of a conflict of interest, who will act as president.
- Dr Ainhoa Jaramillo Gutiérrez, academic secretary of the Doctoral School, or person delegated by her in case of conflict of interest, who will act as secretary.
- The coordinators of the doctoral programmes attached to the branch of knowledge or persons delegated by them in the event of a conflict of interest (Appendix IV).

6.7. Doctors who have tutored, supervised or jointly supervised the theses of applicants or are co-authors of work based on research results obtained since the candidate first enrolled on the doctoral programme may not form part of the committees. In this case, the programme coordinator will be replaced by the lecturer of the programme proposed to the Doctoral School by its academic committee.

6.8. These committees will be responsible for submitting a reasoned report listing the theses proposed for an Outstanding Doctoral Award to the [Steering Committee of the Doctoral School](#).

6.9. In each committee's report, the secretary must state the specific criteria used in each proposal and justify how they are applied.

6.10. Each committee is responsible for defining the excellence criteria referred to in Article 5.1.d. of the [Regulations on Outstanding Doctoral Awards of the Universitat Jaume I](#) (Appendix V). The specific scales by branch of knowledge were approved by the Steering Committee of the Doctoral School session no. 04/24 of 19 April 2024.

6.11. Each committee issues an award proposal, which is submitted to the Steering Committee of the Doctoral School, which in turn submits a final proposal to the Vice-Rector's Office for its resolution.

6.12. The provisional decision regarding the conferral of the Outstanding Doctoral Awards will be announced on the TAO and on the Doctoral School website. A period of 10 days will be established for interested parties to rectify errors or omissions and to present allegations. Once this period has expired without rectification, it will be understood that the proposed resolution has been accepted.

6.13. Once the claims have been resolved, the competent body will issue a final decision on the conferral of Outstanding Doctoral Awards, which will be announced on the TAO and on the Doctoral School website.

6.14. A contentious-administrative appeal may be lodged against this resolution, which puts an end to administrative proceedings, before the Castelló Court for Administrative Matters within two months of the day following its announcement on the [TAO-UJI](#). However, interested parties may lodge an application for review against this resolution, before the same body that issued it, within a period of one month from the day following its publication; in this case, no contentious-administrative appeal may be lodged until an express or presumed resolution of the appeal for reconsideration is issued, in accordance with Articles 123 et seq. of Law 39/2015, of 1 October, on the Administrative Procedure Common to Public Agencies.

7. Assessment criteria.

7.1. The selection committees must apply the following assessment criteria:

- a) Score given to the confidential report issued by the committee after the public defence (up to 30%).
- b) Mobility undertaken during the doctoral studies. For this purpose, theses with the following distinctions: international doctorate, joint supervision or industrial doctorate will receive the highest score (up to 20%).
- c) Dissemination of research results and knowledge transfer. The merits cited by doctoral students classified in categories A+, A and B of the Universitat Jaume I research results assessment scale will be assessed, taking into account that the numerical value assigned to an item classified in category A+ will be multiplied by 10 and those in category A by 5 (up to 30%). Above all, the committee will take into consideration the merits registered in the [Research Data System \(SDI\)](#).
- d) Specific excellence criterion by branch of knowledge (up to 20%). This criterion may be established differently depending on the branch of knowledge to which the doctoral programme of the thesis belongs. The criterion must be made public at the time of the call (Appendix V).

7.2. For the assessment of section a, the confidential reports issued by the members of the thesis examination committee, kept in the custody of the Doctoral School and addressed to it, will be taken into account. On the aforementioned form, each member of the evaluation committee must have responded favourably to the question: "Do you consider that the thesis is eligible for a Outstanding Doctoral Award? Secondly, each member of the committee will have evaluated the level of scientific contribution and degree of innovation within the corresponding field of knowledge on a scale of 1 to 10. If the score for each report is equal to or higher than 7, the average score of all the evaluations will be obtained in order to obtain a maximum of 30 points. When the committee is made up of more than 3 persons, the score will be weighted according to the number of members.

7.3. Only publications derive directly from the content of the doctoral thesis and entered into the [Research Data System \(SDI\)](#). Candidates must justify the relationship of the merits with the work carried out in the doctoral thesis, and indicate clearly the parts of the thesis (chapters, sections and pages) to which they are directly related. Only publications in which at least 50% of the content appears explicitly

in the doctoral thesis will be taken into consideration. For academic papers in press or that have been accepted for publication, it is necessary to provide the DOI ([Digital Object Identifier](#)), or appropriate accreditation in this regard (letter of acceptance from the editor); they must include affiliation to the Universitat Jaume I.

7.4. In the event of a tie, the evaluation committees may ask candidates to make a public defence of their alleged merits, with special emphasis on the projection and impact of their theses, in order to assess the applications.

7.5. The scores obtained will be used to draw up a list in descending order that will serve as a basis for the proposal for a decision.

7.6. In the event of waiver, the award will be given to the next person on the list provided that he or she has obtained a minimum of 60 points in the final score of the scale.

8. Justification

8.1. The payment of the prize amount awarded will be made once the interested party has formally submitted their acceptance of the award and this has been reviewed by the responsible management unit.

9. Incompatibility with other grants.

9.1. Receipt of the Outstanding Doctoral Prize is compatible with any other grant, subsidy, scholarship or remuneration of a public or private nature.

10. Obligations of beneficiaries

10.1. Beneficiaries have the following obligations:

- a) To accept the bestowal of the Outstanding Doctoral Award. Beneficiaries have a maximum of 10 days from the day after the announcement of the final decision on the TAO to formally accept the award via the [Online Registry](#). If the award is not accepted before the established deadline, the candidate will be considered to have renounced.
- b) In all cases: the beneficiary must be registered in the third party database to receive the payment. The procedure for registering or for modifying bank details can be found by clicking on the following link: [Third parties](#).
- c) Not be subject to the prohibitions established in article 13.2, and, where applicable, in article 13.3 LGS. Therefore, it is necessary to attach [the responsible declaration of the beneficiaries of UJI subsidies and aid](#).
- d) Be up to date with their financial obligations with the Universitat Jaume I prior to the proposed resolution of the award.
- e) Do not alter the purpose of the subsidies and comply with the obligations established by current legislation for the receipt of public funds.
- f) Facilitate inspection and control by the competent body to provide it with information on any aspect of the activity for which the award has been bestowed.
- g) Keep any documents pertaining to the award received, including electronic documents, for as long as they may be required for checking and controlling purposes.

- h) Expressly state the status of the programme subsidised by the UJI in any dealings with third parties.
- i) Comply with the obligations established in Article 14 of the General Law 38/2003, of 17 November, on Subsidies.
- j) Repay any funds received in the cases referred to in Article 37 of the Spanish General Law on Subsidies (LGS), and in Article 172 of the Law concerning Public Finance, the Instrumental Public Sector and Subsidies (LHIS).
- k) Not be subject to the prohibitions established in Article 13.2 and, where applicable, in Article 13.3 LGS.
- l) Any other obligation established in these rules or in the applicable regulations, in particular, in General Law 38/2003, on Subsidies.

10.2. In the event of failure to comply with the obligations set out in point 10.1, the Doctoral School will consider the resignation and award the prize to the next eligible applicant on the final list and, where appropriate, will demand the corresponding liabilities in accordance with current legislation.

11. Repayment and degree of non-compliance

11.1. The repayment of the amounts received and the corresponding interest for late payment shall be due from the time of payment of the award until the date on which it is agreed that the repayment is due, in the cases provided for in Article 37 LGS.

11.2. The repayment procedure should be governed by the general provisions on administrative procedures contained in the legislation on common administrative procedure, without prejudice to the specialities established in the LGS and in the RLGS, as well as in Article 172 LHIS.

11.3. When the beneficiary's compliance is significantly close to full compliance and the beneficiary has demonstrated that they have acted unequivocally to meet their commitments, the amount to be reimbursed must be determined by the instances or the degree of non-compliance as regards the subsidised activity, in accordance with the principle of proportionality.

12. Liability and penalties

12.1. The beneficiaries of the awards shall be subject to the liabilities and penalties established in Title IV of General Law 38/2003 on Subsidies and its applicable implementing and autonomous community legislation on administrative infringements in the field of subsidies, as well as those set out in Law 39/2015 on Common Administrative Procedure and Law 40/2015 on the Legal Framework of the Public Sector.

13. Relevant information and notices

13.1. All information regarding the award procedure and other communications related to these rules will be announced on the [TAO-UJI](#) and on the [Doctoral School website](#).

14. Processing of personal data

14.1. The processing of personal data necessary to carry out this procedure forms part of the register of processing activities for which the Universitat Jaume I is responsible, in accordance with the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the

Protection of Individuals with regard to the Processing of Personal Data and their Free Movement, and Organic Law 3/2018 of 5 December on the Protection of Personal Data and Guarantee of Digital Rights.

15. Applicable legal framework

15.1. The financial contribution provided for in these rules is governed by the terms and conditions contained in its articles, and where not provided for, the following will apply: General Law 38/2003, of 17 November, on Subsidies; Royal Decree 887/2006, of 21 July, which approves the Regulations of the Law; Law 1/2015, of 6 February, of the Valencian Government, on Public finance, the Instrumental Public Sector and Subsidies, and the UJI regulations governing subsidies.

15.2. Other rules of public law and, failing that, the rules of private law shall apply in lieu thereof.

APPENDIX II. List of doctoral candidates

Arts and Humanities Branch Proposal: 4

Thesis defence: 22/23 academic year

Gavlovyh Gavlovyh Nina
Martínez Buffa Ignacio
Nastasescu Elena Diana
Romani Sancho Lucía
Sales Sanahuja Juan Carlos
Sánchez Castañ Roser

Thesis defence: 23/24 academic year

Al Najjar Trujillo Tamer
Boghiu Balaur Sorina
Botero Martínez José Leonar
Burgos Muñoz Javier Santos
Cabrera Prieto Gerardo
Falomir Mañá Fernando
Font Bisier Miguel Ángel
Giannotta Gaetano
Guzmán Alcón Irene
Luque Arrufat Vita Vera
Ramos Martín María Paz
Rodríguez Coronel Martha Mónica
Vilar Sastre Griselda

Science Branch Proposal: 4

Thesis defence: 22/23 academic year

Bou Catalá Agustín
Fortuño Morte Maria
Gonzales Paguntalan Karl Cedric
Movilla Nuñez Santiago
Pérez Ozcáriz Sergio
Sánchez Hernández Aitor
Sarrión Pedralva Erik

Thesis defence: 23/24 academic year

Dos Santos Veiga Emerson Luiz
Fernández Climent Roser

Gil Edo Raquel
 González Cuadra Jaime
 Gutiérrez Blanco María
 Hoz Rodríguez Sergio de la
 Lenz Armin Johannes Maxemilian
 Lipsky Gonzalez Felipe
 Liu Xu Luisa
 Manresa Grao Dulce Nombre de María
 Martín Cruz Helena
 Miguel Hervás Eva
 Miravet Martí Rafael
 Pérez Callejo Elvira
 Pla López Alberto
 Rassizadeh Seyedeheila
 Santos Cubedo Andrés
 Serna Gallén Pablo

Health Sciences Branch

Proposal: 4

Thesis defence: 22/23 academic year

Díaz Sanahuja Laura
 Fernández Felipe Isabel
 García Barba Marta
 Gil Beltrán Ester
 Guixeres Esteve María del Carmen
 Herrera Amaya Giomar Maritza
 Manzano Monfort Griselda
 Martínez Zapata Rocío
 Morales Valdivia Estela
 Navarro Moreno María de la Cinta
 Nebot Garcia Juan Enrique
 Olvera Calderón Juana
 Rodríguez Suárez Claudio Alberto
 Tur Domenech Cintia

Thesis defence: 23/24 academic year

Branchadell Capdevila Victoria
 Llagostera Reverter Irene
 Mañas Ojeda Aroa
 Melchor Eixea Ignasi
 Ortet Walker Jordi
 Pérez Ortega Silvia
 Recacha Ponce Paula

San Román Niaves Mabel
Santana López Borja Nicolás
Soria Izquierdo Eloy
Valero Chillerón María Jesús
Vera Remartínez Enrique Jesús
Villar Rodríguez Esteban

Social Sciences and Law Branch

Proposal: 6

Thesis defence: 22/23 academic year

Avariento Adsua María
Bou Sospedra Carlos
Carbonell Górriz Raúl
Casado Cárdenas Raquel
Cherta Puig Rafael
Clemente Martínez Jaime
Delmás Martín Daniel
García Joerger Alexandra
Gilabert Gascón Albano
Girao Isidro Miguel Angel
Jiménez Fernández Eduardo
Martinez Meyers Susana
Omar Reham Gamal Ahmed Salem
Pepe Oliva Romina Samanta
Plata López Mildreth

Thesis defence: 23/24 academic year

Adell Beltran Aida
Aparicio Pérez Daniel
Babirat Christian
Badenas Boldó Javier
Barghouti Abrini Zina
Cantos Aldaz Francisco Javier
Ferrer Estévez María
Gallardo García Francisco Javier
Gomez Borges Ari Wilson
López Miguel Beatriz
Parra Gómez Sheila
Raga i Vives Anna Vera
Raso Domínguez Xavier
Sistero Rodenas Sara
Soto Personat Grisela
Tirado García Alejandra

Engineering and Architecture Branch

Proposal: 3

Thesis defence: 21/22 academic year

Vilarroig Herrera José

Thesis defence: 22/23 academic year

Al Sayyab Ali Khalid Shaker
Boix Palomero Juan
Chukhno Nadezda
Monrós Andreu Guillem
Pitarch Roig Ángel Miguel
Sánchez Rivera María José

Thesis defence: 23/24 academic year

Benavent Nácher Sergio
Burgos Rodríguez Jorge
Calleja Anta Daniel
Feijoo Domínguez Patricia
Martínez Sandez Sonia
Szczurek Krzysztof Adam
Valls Val Karen

Appendix III. Model form to be attached to the application form

MERITS CITED BY THE APPLICANT FOR THE SPECIAL DOCTORAL AWARD

Full name:

Email:

Title of the thesis:

Thesis defence date:

Tutor:

Supervisor:

Doctoral programme in:

Branch of knowledge:

Merits cited:

The publications, patents and artistic exhibitions that are presented as merits to apply for a Special Doctoral Award must be included in the Universitat Jaume I's [Research Data System \(SDI\)](#).

To **check which ones have already been included**, candidates must send an email to bustia_sdi@uji.es, stating that they are applying for the Special Doctoral Award in the current call, a valid identity document number and the names of the people who tutored and supervised their thesis. The Office for Cooperation in Research and Technological Development (OCIT) will send them a list of the contributions that appear in the institutional database.

If they have any other **scientific contributions derived from the thesis and not included in the database**, a member of UJI teaching and research staff (thesis tutors or supervisors or the candidate himself/herself if he/she is currently a member of the teaching and

research staff) must enter this contribution through the departmental administration office, using the procedure indicated in the [Research Data System \(SDI\)](#):

- The departments and institutes catalogue the contributions of their teaching and research staff through the [PCI curricular management](#) application.
- The interlocutor (teaching and research staff member) submits the documentation accrediting the contribution to the administration office of their department/institute, indicating the field of knowledge they belong to, the scientific nature and, in the case of books, the area of evaluation of the SPI.
- The administration office of the department or institute enters the data into the system and includes the supporting documentation.

Only those merits that appear in the database and where the affiliation to the UJI of any of the authors appears will be evaluated for the Special Doctoral Awards.

In this application form, the relationship between the merits listed, and the work carried out in the doctoral thesis must be made **clear**, indicating the parts of the thesis (chapters, sections, pages) to which they are directly related. **Any contributions that are not a product of the direct work of the thesis should not be included. Contributions that do not match at least 50% of any of the chapters in the thesis will not be considered to have derived from it and can only be listed in the “Others” section.**

Merits cited without all the required information may be dismissed by the committee.

Dissemination of the results of the thesis in scientific-technical fields.

Publications or Patents in categories A+, A and B of the UJI production assessment scale. [Application guide.](#)

- **Only those derived from the thesis with UJI affiliation. At least 50% of the content of the contribution must be in the thesis document.** The full reference including the DOI and ISSN or ISBN must be added for publications.
- **Conference contributions** will only be taken into account if they are indexed in Web of Science (JCR) or in SCOPUS (SCR).

Publication or patent details (authorship, year, title, journal/publisher, pages, etc.) and OCIT reference number* (*mandatory)	Chapter, section, and pages of the thesis that are directly related to the publication	UJI scale category (A+, A or B)	Quality index in the year of publication Impact factor and position of the journal within the field of knowledge (e.g. 3rd out of 30 journals). Index used (JCR, SCR, SPI, etc.)
1.			
2.			

Specific criterion of excellence for each branch of knowledge

Only publications in categories A+ and A derived from the thesis that meet the branch-specific criteria of excellence (see Appendix V). These contributions will also have been detailed in the previous section. If none of the contributions derived from the thesis meet this criterion, the fields should be left empty.

Publication or Patent Data (authorship, year, title, journal/publisher, pages, etc.) and OCIT reference number* (*mandatory)	Chapter, section, and pages of the thesis that are directly related to the publication	UJI Scale Category ((A+, A or B))	Quality index in the year of publication Impact factor and position of the journal within the field of knowledge (e.g. 3rd out of 30 journals). Index used (JCR, SCR, SPI, etc.)
1.			
2.			

Awards and honorary distinctions conferred by scientific or professional institutions for the doctoral thesis or the dissemination of knowledge derived from it (see Appendix IV).

Name of award	Chapter, section, and pages of the thesis directly related to the award	Institution that confers the award	National /International
1.			
2.			

Mobility undertaken towards a distinction during the doctoral studies.

- Theses with the **international, joint supervision or industrial doctorate distinction** will automatically obtain the highest score (20 points) for this criterion and it does not need to be stated on the form.

OTHER publications or patents not derived from the thesis with UJI affiliation and in categories A+, A or B to which contributions were made whilst working on the thesis.

Details of publications or patents NOT related to the thesis (authorship, year, title, journal/publisher, pages, etc.) and OCIT reference number* (*mandatory)	UJI scale category (A+, A or B)	Year of publication quality index Impact factor and position of the journal within the field of knowledge (e.g. 3rd out of 30 journals). Index used (JCR, SCR, SPI, etc.)
1.		

2.			
----	--	--	--

I hereby declare that all the information contained in this document is true and faithful.

(Applicant's signature)

(Signature/s of the thesis supervisor/s)

Castelló de la Plana 2025

Appendix IV. Composition of the evaluation committees by branch of knowledge

EVALUATION COMMITTEE FOR THE AREA OF ARTS AND HUMANITIES

President	Dr Mercé Correa Sanz	Director of the Doctoral School
Secretary	Dr Ainhoa Jaramillo Gutiérrez	Academic Secretary of the Doctoral School
Vocal	Dr Vicent Sanz Rozalén Dra Imilcy Balboa Navarro Dr Begoña Bellés Fortuño Dr Ramón A. Feenstra Dr Victor Mínguez Cornelles Dr Eva Cifre Gallego	Coordinator of the PhD Program in International Studies in Peace, Conflict and Development (or substitute) Coordinator of the PhD Program in History and Contemporary Studies (or substitute) Coordinator of the PhD program in Applied Languages, Literature and Translation (or substitute) Coordinator of the Doctoral Program in Ethics and Democracy (or substitute) Coordinator of the PhD Program in Art History (or substitute) Coordinator of the PhD Program in Interdisciplinary Gender Studies (or substitute)

EVALUATION COMMITTEE FOR THE AREA OF SOCIAL SCIENCES AND LAW

President	Dr Mercé Correa Sanz	Director of the Doctoral School
Secretary	Dr. Ainhoa Jaramillo Gutiérrez	Academic Secretary of the Doctoral School
Vocal	Dr Andreu Casero Ripollés Dr Andrea Planchadell Gargallo Dr Aurora García Gallego Dr Diego Moliner Urdiales Dr Daniel Pinazo Calatayud Dr Javier Sánchez García Dr Azucena García Palacios	Coordinator of the PhD Program in Communication Sciences (or substitute) Coordinator of the Doctorate Program in Law (or substitute) Coordinator of the PhD Program in Economics and Business (or substitute) Coordinator of the Doctoral Program in Education (or substitute) Coordinator of the Doctoral Program in Local Development and International Cooperation (or substitute) Marketing PhD Program Coordinator (or substitute) Coordinator of the PhD Program in Design, Management and Evaluation of Public Policies and Social Welfare (or substitute)

EVALUATION COMMITTEE FOR THE AREA OF SCIENCE

President	Dr Mercé Correa Sanz	Director of the Doctoral School
Secretary	Dr Ainhoa Jaramillo Gutiérrez	Academic Secretary of the Doctoral School
Vocal	Dr José Antonio López Ortí Dr Belén Altava Benito Dr Raquel Castillo Solsona	Coordinator of the PhD Program in Sciences (or substitute) Coordinator of the PhD Program in Sustainable Chemistry (or substitute) Coordinator of the PhD Program in Theoretical Chemistry and Computational Modeling (or substitute)

EVALUATION COMMITTEE FOR THE AREA OF ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURE

President	Dr Mercé Correa Sanz	Director of the Doctoral School
Secretary	Dr Ainhoa Jaramillo Gutiérrez	Academic Secretary of the Doctoral School
Vocal	Dr J. Salvador Sánchez Garreta Dr Joaquín Navarro Esbrí	Coordinator of the PhD Program in Computer Science (or substitute) Coordinator of the PhD Program in Industrial and Materials Technologies (or substitute)

EVALUATION COMMITTEE FOR THE AREA OF HEALTH SCIENCES

President	Dr Mercé Correa Sanz	Director of the Doctoral School
Secretary	Dr Ainhoa Jaramillo Gutiérrez	Academic Secretary of the Doctoral School
Vocal	Dr María Loreto Macià Soler Dr Lidón Villanueva Badenes Dr Francisco E. Olucha Bordonau	Secretary of the Doctoral Program in Nursing Sciences (or substitute) Coordinator of the PhD Program in Psychology (or substitute) Coordinator of the PhD Program in Biomedical Sciences and Health (or substitute)

NOTE: The members of the Evaluation Committees of the different areas may be replaced for reasons of conflict of interest.

APPENDIX V. General scale of the special awards and specific scales of excellence by areas

GENERAL SCALE

- Confidential report issued by the members of the committee after the public defence (**up to 30%**).
- Mobility undertaken during the doctoral studies. For this purpose, theses with an international, industrial or jointly supervised doctorate distinction will be given the maximum possible score (**up to 20%**).
- Dissemination of research results and knowledge transfer. The merits cited by doctoral students classified in categories A+, A and B of the Universitat Jaume I research results assessment scale will be assessed, taking into account that the numerical value assigned to an item classified in category A+ will be multiplied by 10 and those in category A by 5 (**up to 30%**).
- Specific excellence criterion by area of knowledge (**up to 20%**). This criterion may be established differently depending on the doctoral programme to which the thesis belongs. The criterion must be made public when the call is announced.

SPECIFIC SCALE FOR THE AREA OF ARTS AND HUMANITIES (criterion (d) of the general scale)

- 10% Awards and honorary distinctions conferred by renowned scientific or professional institutions for the doctoral thesis or the dissemination of knowledge derived from it.**
- 90% Quality of the publications and patents contrastively derived from the results obtained in the thesis according to the evaluation criteria by scientific field used by the Spanish National Committee for the Assessment of Research Activity (CNEAI six-year periods).** Only publications entered in the UJI's [PCI curricular management system](#) will be taken into account.

CNEAI fields for the area of Arts and Humanities and related areas:

Field 10. History, Geography and the Arts

Field 11. Philosophy, Philology and Linguistics

Sub-field 7.1: Social, Political and Behavioural Sciences, and Gender Studies

Based on the criteria of these fields, the theses from which at least one of the following conditions is derived will be given the highest value in the application of the criterion of excellence:

- A publication in the A+ category of the PCI-UJI.
- A greater number of book chapters or books in publishers within the first quartile of SCI, plus a greater number of articles in journals indexed in:
 - "Journal Citation Reports (JCR), Social Sciences Edition and Science Edition" or those with relevant positions in the "Scimago Journal Rank (SJR)" or "Cite Score". Journals included in the "Arts and Humanities Citation Index (Web of Science)", "Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science)" and "International Medieval Bibliography" will also be taken into account as quality references.
 - Ulrichsweb, CAPES, ESF's European Reference Index for the Humanities (ERIH Plus), MIAR, inRECH, RESH, RILMS Abstracts of Music Literature.
 - Spanish scientific journals with the FECYT quality seal.

In these publications, the candidate must be the first author or must have shared first authorship specifically indicated in the publication. A publication with shared authorship will be given half the full score if several of its authors participate in the same call.

In the event of a tie in this section, the number of citations received (excluding self-citations) since publication in category A+ or A publications submitted to the call whose results come from the thesis and where the doctoral candidate is the first author will be taken into account.

SPECIFIC SCALE FOR THE AREA OF SOCIAL SCIENCES AND LAW

(criterion (d) of the general scale)

10% Awards and honorary distinctions conferred by renowned scientific or professional institutions for the doctoral thesis or the dissemination of knowledge derived from it.

90% Quality of the publications and patents contrastively derived from the results obtained in the thesis according to the evaluation criteria by scientific field used by the Spanish National Committee for the Assessment of Research Activity (CNEAI six-year periods). Only publications entered in the UJI's [PCI curricular management system](#) will be taken into account.

CNEAI fields for the area of Social Sciences and Law:

Field 7. Social, Political, Behavioural and Educational Sciences.

Sub-field 7.1: Social, Political and Behavioural Sciences, and Gender Studies

Sub-field 7.2: Educational Sciences

Field 8. Business and Economics

Field 9. Law and Jurisprudence.

Based on the criteria of these fields, the theses from which at least one of the following conditions is derived will be given the highest value in the application of the criterion of excellence:

- A publication in the A+ category of the PCI-UJI.
- A greater number of scientific publications in the first quartile (Q1) included in journals indexed in "*Journal Citation Reports*" (JCR) *Social Sciences Edition and Science Edition, Arts and Humanities Citation Index* of the Web of Sciences and SCOPUS. Additionally, the publication of books, preferably single-authored, by publishers classified in category A of the PCI.

In the case of articles, the candidate must be first author or must have shared first authorship specifically indicated in the publication. A publication with shared first authorship will be given half the full score if the co-authors participate in the same call.

SPECIFIC SCALE FOR THE AREA OF SCIENCES
(criterion (d) of the general scale)

- **10% Awards and honorary distinctions conferred by renowned scientific or professional institutions for the doctoral thesis or the dissemination of knowledge derived from it.**
- **90% Quality of the publications and patents contrastively derived from the results obtained in the thesis according to the evaluation criteria by scientific field used by the Spanish National Committee for the Assessment of Research Activity (CNEAI six-year periods).** Only publications entered in the UJI's [PCI curricular management system](#) will be taken into account.

Based on the criteria of these fields, the theses from which at least one of the following conditions is derived will be given the highest value in the application of the criterion of excellence:

- A publication in the A+ category of the PCI-UJI.

- The minimum number of publications for doctoral theses in the field of:
 - Physics and Chemistry: 3 publications in the first quintile.
 - Cell and Molecular Biology: 3 publications in the first quintile.
 - Natural Sciences: 2 publications in the first quintile.
 - Mathematics: 2 publications in the first quartile.

If the above number is not reached, this section will be counted as 0.

In these publications, the candidate must be the first author or must have shared first authorship specifically indicated in the publication, except in the field of Mathematics where other criteria apply. A publication with shared authorship will be given half the full score if the co-authors participate in the same call.

In the event of a tie in this section, the position of the journal within its category according to JCR will be taken into account. This criterion will be applied to all those articles that meet the standards of excellence previously determined for each discipline and that have been submitted to the call.

SPECIFIC SCALE FOR THE AREA OF ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURE (criterion (d) of the general scale)

10% Awards and honorary distinctions conferred by renowned scientific or professional institutions for the doctoral thesis or the dissemination of knowledge derived from it.

90% Quality of the publications and patents contrastively derived from the results obtained in the thesis according to the evaluation criteria by scientific field used by the Spanish National Committee for the Assessment of Research Activity (CNEAI six-year periods). Only publications entered in the UJI's [PCI curricular management system](#) will be taken into account.

Based on the CENAI criteria, the theses from which at least one of the following conditions is derived will be given the highest value in the application of the criterion of excellence:

- A publication in the A+ category of the PCI-UJI.
- A minimum number of 2 publications in the first tercile that are included in journals indexed in Journal Citation Reports (JCR).

In these publications, the candidate must be the first author or must have shared first authorship specifically indicated in the publication. A publication with shared first authorship will be given half the full score if the co-authors participate in the same call.

SPECIFIC SCALE FOR THE AREA OF HEALTH SCIENCES **(criterion (d) of the general scale)**

- **10% Awards and honorary distinctions conferred by renowned scientific or professional institutions for the doctoral thesis or the dissemination of knowledge derived from it.**
- **90% Quality of the publications and patents contrastively derived from the results obtained in the thesis according to the evaluation criteria by scientific field used by the Spanish National Committee for the Assessment of Research Activity (CNEAI six-year periods).** Only publications entered in the UJI's [PCI curricular management system](#) will be taken into account.

CNEAI fields for the Health Sciences branch:

Field 7. Social, Political, Behavioural and Educational Sciences

Field 4. Biomedical Sciences.

Based on the criteria of these fields, the theses from which at least one of the following conditions is derived will have the highest value in the application of the criterion of excellence:

- A publication in the A+ category of the PCI-UJI.
- A greater number of scientific publications in the first or second quartile (Q1/Q2) included in journals indexed in “*Journal Citation Reports*” (JCR) *Social Sciences Edition*, *Sciences Edition*, *Arts and Humanities Citation Index* of the Web of Sciences and SCOPUS.

In these publications, the candidate must be the first author or must have shared first authorship specifically indicated in the publication. A publication with shared authorship will be given half the full score if several of its authors participate the same call.